Conventional block-based broadcast authentication protocols overlook the heterogeneity of receivers in mobile computing by letting the sender choose the block size, divide a broadcast stream into blocks, associate each block with a signature, and spread the effect of the signature across all the packets in the block through hash or coding algorithms. They suffer from some drawbacks. First, they require that the entire block with its signature be collected before authenticating every packet in the block. This authentication latency can lead to the jitter effect on real-time applications at receivers. Second, the block-based approach is vulnerable to packet loss in mobile computing in the sense that the loss of some packets makes the other packets unable to be authenticated, especially when the block signature is lost. Third, they are also vulnerable to DoS attacks caused by the injection of forged packets. In this article, a novel broadcast authentication protocol based on an efficient cryptographic primitive called a batch signature is used. This protocol supports the verification of the authenticity of any number of packets simultaneously and avoids the shortcomings of the block-based approach.
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drop of authentic packets, leading to DoS. A certain level of resilience to DoS attacks should be provided. In order to meet the aforementioned three requirements, asymmetric key techniques can be used. In an ideal case, each packet includes a signature generated with the sender’s private key, and each receiver verifies the signature with the sender’s public key. As it is well known that existing digital signature algorithms are computationally expensive, the ideal approach raises a serious challenge to receivers’ computational capabilities. Conventional broadcast authentication protocols use a block-based approach to reduce the number of signature verification operations at each receiver [3].

In particular, the sender divides a broadcast stream into blocks, associates each block with a signature, and spreads the effect of the signature across all the packets in the block through some data structures. One data structure is a hash chain. In each block, the hash of each packet is embedded into several other packets in a deterministic or probabilistic way. The hashes form chains linking each packet to the block signature.

The block-based approach can achieve computational efficiency at receivers because the computation requirement is reduced to one signature verification plus some hash operations for a block of packets. However, they suffer from some drawbacks. First, each receiver has to collect an entire block with a block signature before authenticating every packet in the block. A larger block size achieves higher computational efficiency, but incurs longer latency for authentication. This authentication latency can lead to the jitter effect on real-time applications at the receiver when some time-critical packets have to be delayed for block signature verification.

In addition, the block size is chosen by the sender, which overlooks the heterogeneity of the receivers in mobile communications, where mobile devices with constrained memory resources may not be able to allocate enough memory space to buffer a block of packets. Second, the relationship between the packets of each block due to the hashes makes the multimedia stream vulnerable to packet loss in the sense that the loss of some packets makes the other packets unable to be authenticated. In an extreme case, the loss of the block signature makes the whole block of packets unable to be authenticated. Third, previous protocols are vulnerable to DoS attacks. An attacker can inject a large number of forged packets to disrupt the authentication process and cost extra computation overhead at receivers.

In this article we propose a novel broadcast authentication protocol based on an efficient asymmetric cryptographic primitive called a batch signature, which supports the authentication of any number of packets simultaneously. Our design can provide data integrity, origin authentication, and non-repudiation like previous asymmetric key-based protocols. In addition, we make the following contributions:
• By using batch signatures, this design can eliminate the authentication latency in the sense that each receiver can verify the authenticity of any number of packets in its buffer simultaneously whenever high-layer applications require. This is a significant improvement in the quality of real-time applications compared to conventional block-based protocols.

• This design is perfectly resilient to packet loss in the sense that no matter how many packets are lost, the rest can also be verified by receivers. Most conventional protocols cannot totally solve the packet loss problem.

• This design provides strong resilience to DoS attacks by using packet filtering, while most conventional protocols are vulnerable to DoS.

SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The target of sender it to authenticate broadcast messages to the multiple receivers. The sender is a powerful broadcast server, while each receiver can be a less powerful device with resource constraints. The broadcast authentication protocol provides data integrity, origin authentication, and nonrepudiation. Therefore, a use asymmetric key technique is used in this project. In view of the problems regarding the sender-favored block-based approach, we conceive a receiver-oriented approach by taking into account the heterogeneity of the receivers in mobile communications. As mobile devices have different computation and communication capabilities, some could be powerful mobile vehicles or portable laptops, while others could be PDAs or handsets with constrained memory resource and low-end CPUs. This poses a demand on the capability to authenticate any number of packets simultaneously on request by the high-layer applications at each receiver. This design can achieve this goal by using batch signatures, while the block-based approach in previous protocols [3] cannot fulfill this requirement.

In a batch signature scheme, each packet is signed by the sender with a signature. When a receiver collects n packets Pi = {mi, si}, i = 1, ..., n, where mi is the data payload, si is the corresponding signature, and n can be any positive integer, it inputs them into a verification algorithm BatchVerify(pl, p2, ..., pn) e {True, False}. If the output is true, the n packets are authentic; otherwise, they are not. To support authenticity and efficiency, BatchVerify() should have the following properties:

• Given a batch of packets that have been signed by the server, BatchVerify() outputs True.

• Given a batch of packets including some packets that have never been signed by the sender and are potentially forged by an attacker, the probability that BatchVerify() outputs True is very low.

• The computation complexity of BatchVerify() is much lower than that of verifying all the packets one by one and increases gradually when batch size n is increased.

By BatchVerify(), this protocol can achieve computational efficiency comparable to conventional block-based protocols in the sense that a batch of packets can be authenticated simultaneously. In addition, the authentication latency in conventional block-based protocols is eliminated. Each receiver can verify the authenticity of all the received packets in its buffer whenever high-layer applications require. This is a significant improvement in the quality of real-time applications.

Broadcast channels can be lossy, where packets are lost according to different loss models, such as random or burst loss. The traditional block-based approach is vulnerable to packet loss due to the correlation between packets caused by hash chains. The batch-based approach discussed here removes the correlation between packets, which makes our design perfectly resilient to packet loss.

A potential threat to batch signature is DoS. An attacker may inject forged packets into a batch of packets to disrupt the batch signature verification. A naive approach to defeat the DoS attack is to divide the batch into multiple
smaller batches and perform batch verification over each smaller batch; this divide-and-conquer approach can be recursively carried out for each smaller batch, which means more signature verifications at each receiver. In the worst case, the attacker can inject forged packets at very high frequency and expect each receiver to stop the batch operation and recover the basic per-packet signature verification.

In order to deal with DoS attacks, a method to filter out forged packets is needed. In particular, the sender attaches a mark to each packet, which is unique to the packet and cannot be spoofed. At each receiver, the broadcast stream is classified into disjoint sets based on marks. Each set comes from either the real sender or the attacker.

The mark design ensures that a packet from the real sender never falls into any set of packets from the attacker, and vice versa. Next, each receiver only needs to perform BatchVerify() over each set. If the result is True, the set of packets is authentic. If not, the set of packets is from the attacker, and the receiver simply drops them and does not need to divide the set into smaller subsets for further batch verifications. Therefore, strong resilience to DoS due to forged packets can be provided. The architecture of this protocol is depicted in Figure 2. For each message mi, the sender computes a signature si, then computes a mark wi for {mi, si}. Therefore, each packet is pi = {mi, si, wi}. These packets are sent over a lossy and hostile channel to multiple receivers through broadcast routing. Each receiver gets a stream of packets including both authentic and potentially forged ones. The receiver classifies received packets into disjoint sets based on marks. Each set consists of packets pi = {mi, si} where wi is no longer needed. Then the receiver performs BatchVerify() over each set. If the verification over one set succeeds, the set of packets is authentic. Otherwise, the set of packets is forged and can be dropped.

Batch Signature Verification For Broadcast Authentication Protocol

Before the batch verification, each receiver must ensure that all the messages are distinct. Otherwise, the batch RSA is vulnerable to packet forgery. This is easy to implement because sequence numbers are widely used in many network protocols and can ensure all the messages are distinct.

An attacker may attempt to inject forged packets and expect them to pass the batch verification. However, the attacker can hardly succeed because he does not know the sender’s private key. When all the messages are distinct, the batch RSA is resistant to packet forgery as long as the underlying RSA is secure. The attacker may not inject forged packets but manipulate authentic packets to produce invalid signatures.

For example, given two packets {mi, si} and {mj, sj} for i ≠ j, the attacker can modify them into {mi, sip} and {mj, sj/p}. The modified packets can still pass the batch verification, but the signature of each packet is not correct. However, the attacker can do this only when he gets {mi, si} and {mj, sj}, which means the message mi and mj have been correctly signed by the sender. Therefore, this attack is of no harm to the receiver.

Packet Filtering

In this design, a Merkle tree is used to generate marks. An example is illustrated in Figure 3. The sender constructs a binary tree for 8 packets.
Each leaf is a hash of one packet. Each internal node is the hash value for both its left and right children. For each packet, a mark is constructed as the set of siblings of the nodes along the path from the packet to the root. For example, the mark of the packet P3 is \{H4, H1,2, H5,8\} and the root can be recovered as H1,8 = H((H1,2, (H(P3),H4)), H5,8).

**Figure 3: Merkle tree**

Constructing a Merkle tree is very efficient because only hash operation is performed. Meanwhile, the one-way property of hash operation ensures that given the root of a Merkle tree, it is infeasible to find a packet, which is not in the set associated with the Merkle tree and from which there is a path to the root. This guarantees that forged packets cannot fall into the set of authentic packets. When the sender has a set of packets for broadcast, it generates a Merkle tree for the set and attaches a mark to each packet. The root can be recovered based on each packet and its mark. Each receiver can find whether two packets belong to the same set by checking whether they lead to the same root value. Therefore, the recovered roots help classify received packets into disjoint sets. Once a set is authentic, the corresponding root can be used to authenticate the rest of the packets under the same Merkle tree without batch-verifying them.

**AUTHENTICATION LATENCY**

The block-based approach requires each receiver to collect an entire block before authenticating every packet in the block. A larger block size achieves higher computation efficiency, but also incurs longer authentication latency. Given a block size is \(n\), before starting to authenticate each packet in one block, each receiver has to buffer at least \(n\) packets when hash chains are used.

This design does not have authentication latency. Because there is no relationship among packets and no limit on the number of packets in batch verification, each receiver can perform the batch verification over its buffered packets whenever higher-layer applications require. This can greatly increase the quality of service (QoS) performance of broadcast streams.

**RESILIENCE TO PACKET LOSS**

Block-based protocols introduce correlation between packets due to the use of hash chains. This correlation makes the broadcast stream vulnerable to packet loss. The protocols using hash chains have poor resilience to packet loss. If some packets are lost, other packets may not be authenticated, and if the signature of a block is lost, the entire block of packets cannot be authenticated.

On the contrary, this design is perfectly resilient to packet loss in the sense that each packet is independently verifiable. No matter how many packets are lost, the remaining packets can still be authenticated. This is a significant improvement over previous work.

**DOS RESILIENCE**

DoS is a method for an attacker to deplete the resources of a receiver. Processing forged packets from the attacker always consumes a certain amount of resources. The block-based approach has poor resilience to DoS. Because there is no filtering, each receiver has to recover the relationship among authentic packets mixed with forged packets, which is very time and computation intensive. In addition, a deadlock can form at the receiver in the hash-chain approach when the receiving buffer is exhausted.
by mixing forged packets and authentic packets without block signatures. Those authentic packets are waiting for signatures, but signatures cannot be received because the receiving buffer is exhausted by forged packets.

By using a Merkle tree in this design, authentic packets and forged packets are separated into disjoint sets. Batch verification is carried out over each set. Therefore, each batch verification can authenticate a set of packets, and no more is needed. The deadlock experienced by the hash chain approach can also be eliminated. If an attacker wants to inject some forged packets into a batch consisting of authentic packets, he must break the one-way property of a Merkle tree. However, this attempt fails because given the root of a Merkle tree; it is infeasible to find out a packet from which there is a path to the root due to the one-way property of hash functions. Therefore, by using a Merkle tree, this design has strong resilience to DoS attacks.

CONCLUSIONS

In this article, a novel broadcast authentication protocol based on batch signature by leveraging the heterogeneity of receivers in mobile computing is used as a proposed system. Compared to traditional solutions, this protocol supports the verification of the authenticity of any number of packets simultaneously whenever high-layer applications require and thus eliminates the authentication latency. In addition, this design is perfectly resilient to packet loss in the sense that no matter how many packets are lost, the rest can also be verified by the receiver. Moreover, this can efficiently defeat DoS attacks by using packet filtering concepts.
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